Notice of Proposed Rule Making

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TEXT ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY [ ] YES [X] NO

NOTE: Typing and submission instructions are at the end of this form. Please be sure to COMPLETE ALL ITEMS. Incomplete forms and nonscannable text attachments will be cause for rejection of this notice.

1. A. Proposed action: As discussed in the Commission's Order Authorizing Additional Main Tier Solicitations and Directing Program Modifications, issued on January 20, 2006, the Commission is considering modifying the RPS Program delivery requirement for intermittent imports by requiring a form of "hourly matching" so as to equalize delivery cost drivers between in-state and out-of-state intermittent renewable energy resources.

B. [ ] This is a consensus rule making. A statement is attached setting forth the agency's determination that no person is likely to object to the rule as written [SAPA §202(1)(b)(i)].

C. [ ] This rule was previously proposed as a consensus rule making under I.D. No. ____________________. Attached is a brief description of the objection that caused/is causing the prior notice to be withdrawn [SAPA §202(1)(e)].

D. [ ] This rule is proposed pursuant to [SAPA §207(3)], Review of Existing Rules.

2. Statutory authority under which the rule is proposed: Public Service Law, Section(s) 4(1), 5(2), 66(1) and 66(2).

3. Subject of the rule: Modifying the RPS Program delivery requirement for intermittent imports into the New York Control Area.

4. Purpose of the rule: To equalize delivery cost drivers between in-state and out-of-state renewable energy resources.

5. Public hearings (check box and complete as applicable):

[X] A public hearing is not scheduled. (SKIP TO ITEM 8)

[ ] A public hearing is required by law and is scheduled below.

[ ] A public hearing is not required by law, but is scheduled below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Time:</th>
<th>Location:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Interpreter services (check only if a public hearing is scheduled):

[ ] Interpreter services will be made available to hearing impaired persons, at no charge, upon written request to the agency contact designated in this notice.
7. Accessibility (check appropriate box only if a public hearing is scheduled):
   [ ] All public hearings have been scheduled at places reasonably accessible to persons with a mobility impairment.
   [ ] Attached is a list of public hearing locations that are not reasonably accessible to persons with a mobility impairment. An optional explanation is submitted regarding the nonaccessibility of one or more hearing sites.

8. Terms of rule (SELECT ONE):
   [ ] The full text of the rule is attached since it is under 2,000 words.
   [X] A summary of the rule is attached since the full text of the rule is over 2,000 words.
   [ ] Full text is posted at the following State website:
   [ ] Full text is not posted on a State website.
   [ ] Full text is not posted on a State website but this is a consensus rule or a rule defined in SAPA 102(2)(a)(ii)
   [ ] Pursuant to SAPA §202(7)(b), the agency elects to print a description of the subject, purpose and substance of the rule as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii).

9. The text of the rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained by filing a Document Request Form (F-96) located on our website http://www.dps.state.ny.us/f96dir.htm. For questions, contact:

   Central Operations
   3 Empire State Plaza
   Albany, New York 12223-1350
   (518) 474-2500

10. Submit data, views or arguments to (complete only if different than previously named agency contact):
    Jaclyn A. Brilling, Secretary
    3 Empire State Plaza
    Albany, New York 12223-1350
    (518) 474-6530

11. Public comment will be received until:
    [X] 45 days after publication of this notice (MINIMUM public comment period when full text is attached because it is under 2,000 words or full text of rule has been posted on a State website or the rule is a consensus rule or a rule defined under SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii))
    [ ] 60 days after publication of this notice (MINIMUM public comment period when full text is not attached or full text is not posted on a State web site or the rule is not a consensus rule or a rule defined under SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii))
    [ ] 5 days after the last scheduled public hearing required by statute (MINIMUM, with required hearing) This box may not be checked and the minimum 60-day comment period applies if full text is not attached or text is not posted on a State web site or the rule is not a consensus rule or a rule defined under SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii))
    [ ] Other: (specify)

12. A prior emergency rule making for this action was previously published in the _____________ issue the Register, I.D. No. ___________________________.

13. Expiration date (check only if applicable):
    [X] This proposal will not expire in 180 days because it is for a "rate making" as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii).
14. Additional matter is required by statute:
[X] Check box if NOT applicable

15. Regulatory Agenda (The Division of Housing and Community Renewal; Workers’ Compensation Board; and the departments of Agriculture and Markets, Banking, Education, Environmental Conservation, Family Assistance, Health, Insurance and Labor and any other department specified by the Governor or his designee must complete this item. If your agency has an optional agenda published, that should also be indicated below):

[ ] This action was a Regulatory Agenda item in the first January issue of the Register, (year) _________
[ ] This action was a Regulatory Agenda item in the last June issue of the Register, (year) _________
[ ] This action was not under consideration at the time this agency’s Regulatory Agenda was submitted for publication in the Register.

16. Review of Existing Rules (ALL ATTACHMENTS MUST BE 2,000 WORDS OR LESS)
This rule is proposed pursuant of SAPA §207 (check applicable boxes):

[ ] Attached is a statement setting forth a reasoned justification for modification of the rule. Where appropriate, include a decision of the degree to which changes in technology, economic conditions or other factors in the area affected by the rule necessitate changes in the rule.

[ ] Attached is an assessment of public comments received by the agency in response to the listing of the rule in the regulatory agenda.

[ ] An assessment of public comments is not attached because no comments were received.

17. Regulatory Impact Statement (RIS)
(SELECT AND COMPLETE ONE; ALL ATTACHMENTS MUST BE 2,000 WORDS OR LESS, EXCLUDING SUMMARIES OF STUDIES, REPORTS OR ANALYSES [Needs and Benefits]):

The attached RIS contains:

[ ] The full text of the RIS.
[ ] A summary of the RIS.
[ ] A consolidated RIS, because this rule is one of a series of closely related and simultaneously proposed rules or is virtually identical to rules proposed during the same year.

B. An RIS is NOT attached, because this rule is:

[ ] a technical amendment (i.e., exempt from SAPA §202-a) (attach a statement of the reason(s) for claiming this exemption).

[ ] subject to a consolidated RIS printed in the Register under I.D. No.: __________________________; issue date: ________________

[X] exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii) [Rate Making].

[ ] exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(11) [Consensus Rule Making].

18. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (RFA) for small businesses and local governments
(SELECT AND COMPLETE ONE; ALL ATTACHMENTS MUST BE 2,000 WORDS OR LESS):

A. The attached RFA contains:

[ ] The full text of the RFA.
[ ] A summary of the RFA.
[ ] A consolidated RFA, because this rule is one of a series of closely related rules.

B. An RFA is NOT attached, because this rule:

[ ] will not impose any adverse economic impact or reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements on small businesses or local governments (attach a statement in scanner format that explains the agency’s finding and the reason(s) upon which the finding was made, including any measures used to determine that the rule will not impose such adverse economic impacts or compliance requirements).

[ ] is subject to a consolidated RFA printed in the Register under I.D. No.: __________________________; issue date: ________________

[X] is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii) [Rate Making].

[ ] is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(11) [Consensus Rule Making].
19. **Rural Area Flexibility Analysis (RAFA)**
(Select and complete one; all attachments must be 2,000 words or less):

A. The attached RAFA contains:
   - [ ] The full text of the RAFA.
   - [ ] A summary of the RAFA.
   - [ ] A consolidated RAFA, because this rule is one of a series of closely related rules.

B. A RAFA is **NOT** attached, because this rule:
   - [ ] will not impose any adverse economic impact on rural areas or reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements on public or private entities in rural areas (attach a statement in scanner format that explains the agency’s finding and the reason(s) upon which the finding was made, including what measures were used to determine that the rule will not impose such adverse impact or compliance requirements).
   - [ ] is subject to a consolidated RAFA printed in the Register under I.D. No.: __________________________; issue date: __________________________.
   - [X] is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii) [Rate Making].
   - [ ] is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(11) [Consensus Rule Making].

20. **Job Impact Statement (JIS)**
(Select and complete one; all attachments must be 2,000 words or less):

A. The attached JIS contains:
   - [ ] The full text of the JIS.
   - [ ] A summary of the JIS.
   - [ ] A consolidated JIS, because this rule is one of a series of closely related rules.

B. A JIS is **NOT** attached, because this rule:
   - [ ] will not have a substantial adverse impact on jobs and employment opportunities (as apparent from its nature and purpose) (Attach a statement in scanner format that explains the agency’s finding that the rule will have a positive impact or no impact on jobs and employment opportunities; except when it is evident from the subject matter of the rule that it could only have a positive impact or no impact on jobs and employment opportunities, the statement shall include a summary of the information and methodology underlying that determination.)
   - [ ] is subject to a consolidated JIS printed in the Register under I.D. No.: __________________________; issue date: __________________________.
   - [X] is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii) [Rate Making].
   - [ ] is exempt, because it is submitted by the State Comptroller or Attorney General.
   - [ ] includes a JIS/Request for Assistance.

**AGENCY CERTIFICATION (To be completed by the person who PREPARED the notice)**

I have reviewed this form and the information submitted with it. The information contained in this notice is correct to the best of my knowledge.

I have reviewed Article 2 of SAPA and Parts 260 through 263 of 19 NYCRR, and I hereby certify that this notice complies with all applicable provisions.

Name       SAUL A. RIGBERG  
Signature  
Address  3 Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12223-1350  
Telephone  518-486-2652  
Date  January 24, 2006
The New York Public Service Commission is considering specific rules and
design details pertinent to the import delivery requirement for intermittent generators
participating in the Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) Program. In the RPS Program
January 20, 2006 Order, the Commission discussed comments on economic development
in response to SAPA No. 03-E-0188SA10. These comments indicated parties' interest in
imposing a "daily" or "hourly matching" requirement to garner more economic benefits
resulting from the RPS Program for New York. According to the comments, the current
monthly matching delivery requirement may not impose all the costs of transmission,
congestion, and losses on external generators power transactions that internal generators
pay. The Commission is considering a form of "hourly matching" to address the
differences in delivery cost drivers and to reduce differences with neighboring states. The
Commission is aware that such modification could increase the cost of the RPS Program.

The Commission may accept, reject, or modify any proposals relating to these
matters. Comments are sought on all matters discussed herein.