

Deleted: January  
Deleted: 23

Outline of Report on Recommendations  
Regarding Review and Implementation of  
Regulated Reliability Solutions

Deleted:  
Deleted: ¶  
Formatted: Centered  
Deleted: ¶  
¶  
Formatted: Font: Not Bold

I. Introduction

A. Purpose and Objective of Report (applicable to proposed regulated reliability solutions)

B. Summary of Report

II. Existing Reliability Planning Process

A. Overview of NYISO CRPP

B. Current Status of CRPP

III. Recommended PSC Role in Planning Process

A. Recommended Process

1. Issue: What procedures, filing requirements, responsibilities, and other process matters should be established if a regulated solution is required?

2. Issue: When and how would the PSC and/or DPS become involved in reviewing regulated solutions?

3. Issue: What formal filing(s) will be required before the State, and when?

4. Issue: Under the CRPP, TOs and the NYISO estimate the time required to implement potential solutions. How will these periods interface with the PSC's process? (Note: This may require revisiting within the NYISO process)

B. Policy Matters

1. Issue: How should public policy concerns be addressed in developing and choosing among potential regulated projects? (e.g., fuel diversity and security, transmission versus

Deleted: Issues (I): ¶  
¶  
Procedural ¶  
Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.75"  
Deleted:  
Deleted:  
Deleted: proposed alternatives  
Deleted:  
Deleted: en will a  
Deleted: in order to be considered as a regulated solution?  
Deleted:  
Deleted: ¶  
I: How long before a reliability need date should utilities begin planning backstop projects? ¶  
Deleted: I: What issues need to be addressed to accommodate non-PSC jurisdictional entities? ¶  
Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.5"  
Formatted: No underline  
Deleted: Substantive  
Formatted: No underline  
Deleted: I: How can construction of proposed merchant proposals be ensured? (e.g., What monitoring rules are in place? Are those rules sufficient? Are any improvements needed?) ¶  
Deleted:

generation or demand side projects, generation diversity (base-load, intermediate, peaking, distributed, etc.), non-internalized costs (externalities), relative cost-effectiveness of projects, rate impacts, achieving state goals (renewables, energy efficiency), system reliability benefits beyond FERC's reliability parameters, ability to timely meet the need, environmental impacts, impacts on generators, economic development impacts and opportunities, environmental justice issues, vertical market power issues, others?)

**Deleted:** (including renewables,  
**Deleted:** and energy efficiency  
**Deleted:** )

2. Issue: How should the potential impacts to competitive markets be addressed in selecting a regulated reliability solution?

**Deleted:** ¶  
**Deleted:** I: Should TO(s) and others consider criteria when proposing potential regulated solutions, and if so, how would those criteria be reviewed? (Note: this is also a procedural issue)

3. Issue: What conditions and criteria should apply in determining whether to use a long-term contract?

C. Other Matters

1. Issue: How can construction of proposed alternative proposals be ensured?

**Deleted:** I: How should plant retirements be considered or planned for in light of public policy goals (s.g., What rules are in place to address retirements? Are these rules sufficient? Are improvements needed?)

2. Issue: What issues need to be addressed to accommodate non-PSC jurisdictional entities?

**Formatted:** Font: Bold  
**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0.5"  
**Deleted:** I: How should issues resolved for the first deliverable be considered for the second deliverable?  
**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 1"

**Deleted:** ¶  
¶  
One Working Group is proposed to address the procedural and substantive issues.¶